Clairemont Community Update Meeting Summary

Alcott Elementary School

11/07/2017

Public Facilities Element

Comment/Question	Response
 Re: Comprehensive Healthcare from last meeting, Why don't we have any medical facilities (Hospitals) planned? We would like to see clinics, urgent care and senior care addressed. 	We discussed in PF element number two during our 10/10 meeting and the comment of "We (the community) do not want a large trauma center or hospital" was made.
 Meeting space is this a goal? A dedicated meeting space would be a "Good Goal" We would like to see anyone who builds (builders) to provide a free meeting space for the community Suggestions include Civic Plazas and Parklets. Clarify indoor and outdoor meeting spaces in document. 	Not sure Parklets would be a venue for meetings
 Have you (Planning Dept.) talked with the Library and related staff to further the discussions? 	Discussions with library and public services staff will take place after the land use alternatives have been developed.
 Do we have adequate fire protection? We have neighboring fire stations (Pacific Beach) servicing Clairemont. What are the Police & Fire Department response times? Can you provide them so we don't have to look them up? Can you provide PD & FD coverage estimates based on growth estimates We want adequate FD & PD coverage 	Response time can be found on City Gate site or in the following document http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/grandjury/reports/2013-2014/EmergencyResponseTimesReport.pdf
We don't support temporary or portable buildings at the schools. Can we put something in the policy? "This is a goal for the community"	The School District dictates facilities planning and operations at their schools.
 Concerns about school safety with increased density. Can we address these concerns in the mobility section too? 	Look at the "Safe Routes to School" website as a guideline for school zone safety: https://www.saferoutespartnership.org/
 Community does not want camping/tent cities on our land or in our community. 	This would be an enforcement planning should.

We have not discussed Stormwater	We can include in the Public Facilities,
facilities in our Public facilities	Services & Safety Element or address in
discussions.	Conservation.
Can we have a discussion on the	We can have a discussion on this.
development of open lands?	The same a discussion on this.
Re: PF1, Can we put together a	http://firesafesdcounty.org/wp-
community operated, State & City	content/uploads/2016/06/Kensington-
funded "Fire Safe" committee or	final.pdf
program like Kensington?	
What did we find out about	Clairemont Community Plan:
undergrounding the Transmission	Undergrounding of Utility Lines
lines?	All utility wires and transmission lines in
	Clairemont Mesa should be placed
	underground where technically and
	economically feasible. Priority areas for the
	undergrounding of overhead utility wires
	should include the community's major
	transportation corridors in order to visually
	improve the community character. These
	areas include:
Can we talk about cell tower	Section 141.0420 in the Municipal Code
placement?	already provides design requirements for
 Can the community plan encourage 	wireless communications as a separately
the clustering or facades of cell	regulated use.
towers?	A STATE
PF14, would not like to see it in the	As per discussion, we will remove PF14
plan, why do we need it?	
Can we clarify PF14? This is a second of the control of the	Neted
Tait offered to provide a Facilities	Noted.
financing presentation to provide more	
 information to the community Can we discuss the charter schools in 	
	We will add to our mans
our community (how they impact it)?	We will add to our maps
Toler Elementary is missing from our	
maps Can we oncourage excess lands or	We can discuss alternative land uses for
Can we encourage excess lands or sale of school lands to be used for	such sites when we discuss the Land Use
open space for the community?	Element.
· · ·	Lionion.
 Could we address this in public facilities and land use? 	
D 1 11 11 1 1 11 11	Noted.
Parks need better facilities	เพนเธน

Noise Element

Comment/Question	Response
Can we address commercial areas near residential areas (noise) in plan?	General Plan, Noise Element: Page 16, Section E Commercial and Mixed-Use Activity Noise
 Comment that the language we are discussing in the CPU Draft goal can limit functions vital to community health, including; sports, games, playing children and gatherings. Re: noise, this should be based on community impact not individual impact. 	General Plan, Noise Element: Page 18, Section H Event Noise
 Use "public benefit" in wording of policies. 	Noted.
 Add new term to glossary; Sensitive receptors. 	Done
 We would like a comparison of old and new noise study. 	Previous noise study may no longer be available.
 Will we be addressing emerging technologies in our Plan update; autonomous vehicles, etc. 	Noted.
 Re: New timeline, we don't like the new timeline! Re: New timeline, we (community) want the Land Use discussion moved up. Re: New timeline, eliminate the March 13th Recreation Element discussion, we have talked about this enough. Move Urban Design element to March. Start the Land Use discussion in June. Re: land use discussion, we want an explanation of the building incentives. We want a dark month. Send us materials to review about the Urban Design element. We would like representative (real) images of the proposed density. Send us a revised schedule (timeline) with requested changes. 	Comments noted.
 Add a recreation and Special events section. 	This is addressed under Section H – Event noise in the General Plan.